Dark Matter in Cosmology and Astrophysics

Hans Kraus, University of Oxford

**Evidence for Dark Matter** 

**Cosmological Parameters** 

**Candidates for Dark Matter** 

How can we detect them?

Annual Oscillation as Example

## **Evidence for Existence of Dark Matter**



3 3 12×109, (Sec.Vrs) (Kelvin) Ľ 22 (GeV) Today ξ 2.3×10-13 IC Particle Data Group, LBNL, © 2000. Supported by DOE and NSF Z C 25 ́ С 109 0 C 3 radiation wave cos visible ۱C C 3×105 3×10-10 3000 Φ Ð History of the Universe **DDD** Бр C 10 102 IC 0 0 10-55 σ Iσ 912 B C FIVAL-Tevatron 60 BINL-RHIC CERN-LEP SLAC-SL 0 J Accelerators: CERN-LHC 60 10-10 S relicts atter Ε JL 10 15 galaxy 02 black hole 10 N photon cosmic rays star high-energy 60 e 🕑 baryon ব্বব meson W, Z bosons 10-3> atom 1028 ion Inflation 1015 19 g gluon e electron Mnuon t tau **N** neutrino **q** quark Key: 20

Rotation Curves Lensing Hot Gas Clusters

Why are we not content with what we see?

Flat rotation curves were seen – so, must be more.

How much more – that is, what is the density of the Universe.

#### Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln

von F. Zwicky.

(16. II. 33.)

Inhaltsangabe. Diese Arbeit gibt eine Darstellung der wesentlichsten Merkmale extragalaktischer Nebel, sowie der Methoden, welche zur Erforschung derselben gedient haben. Insbesondere wird die sog. Rotverschiebung extragalaktischer Nebel eingehend diskutiert. Verschiedene Theorien, welche zur Erklärung dieses wichtigen Phänomens aufgestellt worden sind, werden kurz besprochen. Schliesslich wird angedeutet, inwiefern die Rotverschiebung für das Studium der durchdringenden Strahlung von Wichtigkeit zu werden verspricht.

Original: Helvetica Physica Acta 6 (1933) 110-127.

Republished in English: Gen Relativ Gravit 41 (2009) 207-224.



Um, wie beobachtet, einen mittleren Dopplereffekt von 1000 km/sek oder mehr zu erhalten, müsste also die mittlere Dichte im Comasystem mindestens 400 mal grösser sein als die auf Grund von Beobachtungen an leuchtender Materie abgeleitete<sup>1</sup>). Falls sich dies bewahrheiten sollte, würde sich also das überraschende Resultat ergeben, dass <u>dunkle Materie</u> in sehr viel grösserer Dichte vorhanden ist als leuchtende Materie.

## **DARK MATTER**

## More on Rotation Curves (1970s)







## Astronomical evidences for DM



## Astronomical evidences for DM

#### Kepler's law:

rotation velocity  $v_{rot}$  of a star of mass m around a central inner mass  $M_r$ :

$$F = \frac{GM_{r}m}{r^{2}} = m \cdot a$$
$$a = \frac{V_{rot}^{2}}{r} = \frac{GM_{r}}{r^{2}}$$
$$\Rightarrow V_{rot}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{r}}{r}}$$

$$M_r = \int \rho(r) dV$$

(galactic bulge:  $\rho(r)=\rho_0=const. r<5kpc$ outside:  $\rho(r)\sim 0 \rightarrow M_r=const. \rightarrow v_{rot}\sim r^{-1/2}$ )

 $v_{rot} \sim const. \rightarrow \rho(r) \sim r^{-2}$  outside bulge



### or better: luminous matter in DM halo



## Astronomical evidences for DM



## Galactic DM halo models



## Infer mass profile and how much DM



$$v(R) = \sqrt{\frac{GM(R)}{R}}$$

Rotation curves don't fall off as expected but remain flat.

Typical velocities can be much higher, inferring ~10 times more mass than seen directly.

DARK MATTER the only solution?

## MOdified Newtonian Dynamics an Alternative?

$$F = \frac{GMm}{r^2} = m\mu \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right) a \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Assuming that at} \\ \text{large distance } r, a \\ \text{is smaller than } a_0 \end{array} \quad \mu \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right) \approx \frac{a}{a_0}$$
$$\frac{GM}{r^2} = \frac{a^2}{a_0} \quad \text{thus:} \quad a = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad a = \frac{v^2}{r}$$

Therefore: 
$$v = \sqrt[4]{GMa_0}$$

### Independent of r at large radii.

Fits many rotation curves.

Plenty of literature available, but Dark Matter appears more attractive to many.

Local universe (low redshift): observe intracluster medium (T ~  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  K) through its x-ray emission. Only 5 – 10% of baryons are in stars. Intracluster medium.

X-ray emission from clusters as seen with Chandra



Also: simulations predict a large fraction of baryons in the intergalactic medium. Detect this at high redshift.

## **Evidence from Gravitational Lensing**

3mz

Massive

Object

Observer (...

(Dark) Matter makes light bend:

Feature-rich image (7 multiple systems)



Credits: W.Couch (University of New South Wales), R. Ellis (Cambridge University), and NASA

## **Reconstructing the Mass Distribution**



Smooth background component, not accounted for by mass of luminous objects.

From K Freese's talk

## Hot Gas in Clusters: the COMA Cluster Without Dark Matter, the gas would evaporate



**Optical Image** 

**ROSAT X-ray Image** 

From K Freese's talk

## The Bullet Cluster



Image credit:NASA/CXC/M.Markevitch et al. Optical: NASA/STScI; Magellan/U.Asizona/D.Clowe et al. Lensing map: NASA/STSCI; ESOWFI; Magellan/U.Arizona/D.Clowe et al. The Cosmic Microwave Background Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Dark Energy

## The Cosmic Microwave Background

Measured in the 1990's by the COsmic Background Explorer satellite: almost perfect black body with T=2.725K





## The Cosmic Microwave Background



Subtract black body spectrum for T = 2.725K

Dipole is not of cosmologic origin. COBE finds v = 371 + - 1 km/s for the absolute velocity of the Earth.

Leaves fluctuations (resolved in COBE to ~7 degree)

Much better resolution with WMAP (below) ~15'



## About the Cosmic Microwave Background

Universe was so hot and dense in the past that it was almost a perfect black body with all ionized particles and photons in thermal equilibrium.

Early Universe was opaque: mean free path of radiation small, mainly due to Thomson scattering. It cooled through expansion and eventually electrons and protons recombined to form atoms. At recombination, the Universe becomes transparent, and matter and photons decouple and evolve separately.

The photons we observe come from surface of last scattering.



Not really a perfect black body.

Observed at redshift ~1000.

Fluctuations at 1 in 10<sup>5</sup> level.

These are the seeds of structure formation.

## Interpretation of the CMB

Temperature is Doppler boosted:

Expand this ir

 $T_{obs}$ 

is Doppler boosted: 
$$T_{obs} = \frac{T_0}{\gamma \left[1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \cos \theta\right]}$$
  
nto a power series:  
$$= T_0 \left[1 + \frac{v}{c} \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \cos 2\theta + O\left(v^3\right)\right]$$

$$C(\theta) = \left\langle \frac{\delta T}{T}(\alpha) \frac{\delta T}{T}(\alpha + \theta) \right\rangle$$

Express this as sum of Legendre polynomials

$$C(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} a_l^2 (2l+1) P_l(\cos\theta)$$

## **CMB** Power Spectrum



Position of first peak: probes spatial geometry.

Ratio of peaks: relative height of peaks probes baryon density.

### Summary of Parameter Determination Dunkley et al. 0803.0586, simple 6 parameter fit (3 shown):

Baryons $(2.27 +/- 0.06) / h^2 \%$ Cold DM $(10.99 +/- 0.62) / h^2 \%$ 

0.742 +/- 0.036



Dark Energy



## **Big Bang Nucleosynthesis**

p (n,
$$\gamma$$
) D  
 $\rightarrow$  D (p,  $\gamma$ ) <sup>3</sup>He  
 $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>He (D,p) <sup>4</sup>He





## **Big Bang Nucleosynthesis**

The baryon-to-photon ratio is the only free parameter in calculating nuclear fusion models of the first few minutes. The WMAP constraint agrees with direct measurements of primordial abundance (if you ignore lithium).



## **Dark Energy**



Observation of distant supernovae Standard candles Further away than anticipated Expansion of Universe accelerates Vacuum energy





74% Dark Energy23% Dark Matter4% Baryonic Matter



### A Summary of Cosmological Parameters



Flat Universe Local DM density:

 $\rho_{\chi} \approx 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$ 

## Need a candidate for Cold Dark Matter

## **CDM** as particle Dark Matter



## Supersymmetry SUSY

### **Particles:** half-integer spin

## **Carriers of forces:** integer spin



Leptor	Leptons spin = 1/2			
Flavor	Mass GeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Electric charge		
$\nu_e$ electron neutrino	<1×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0		
<b>e</b> electron	0.000511	-1		
$\nu_{\mu}$ muon neutrino	<0.0002	0		
$\mu$ muon	0.106	-1		
$ u_{ au}^{ ext{ tau }}_{ ext{ neutrino }}$	<0.02	0		
au tau	1.7771	-1		

<b>FERMIONS</b> matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2,							
t <b>ons</b> spin = 1/2				Quarks spin = 1/2			
	Mass GeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Electric charge		Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Electric charge	
on ino	<1×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0		U up	0.003	2/3	
on	0.000511	-1		<b>d</b> down	0.006	-1/3	
ino	< 0.0002	0		C charm	1.3	2/3	
	0.106	-1		S strange	0.1	-1/3	
ino	<0.02	0		t top	175	2/3	
	1.7771	-1		<b>b</b> bottom	4.3	-1/3	

### BOSONS

<b>Unified Electroweak</b> spin = 1			
Name	Mass GeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Electric charge	
γ photon	0	0	
W-	80.4	-1	
W+	80.4	+1	
Z <sup>0</sup>	91.187	0	

force	car	rie	rs	
spin =	= 0,	1,	2,	

Strong (color) spin = 1			
Name	Mass GeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Electric charge	
<b>g</b> gluon	0	0	

## WIMP = LSP (lightest SUSY particle)?

**S**<sup>2</sup>baryon H d b S q Higgsino Higgs  $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mu}$ Nr.  $\Omega_{\mathsf{HDM}}$ Ve **S2**CDM W e τ μ SUSY quarks leptons force carriers squarks sleptons force carriers

SUSY in a nutshell:

Requirements on LSP to be a WIMP:

- R parity conservation
- > LSP must be neutral ( $\rightarrow$  superposition of  $\tilde{\gamma}$ ,  $\tilde{Z}$  and  $\tilde{H}$  or  $\tilde{G}$ )

LSP must be stable (or lifetime ~ age of the Universe) to be detectable:

> interaction cross section  $\sigma \sim 10^{-3} \dots 1 \sigma_{ew}$ 

> 50 < m(χ) < 1000 GeV</p>

## **CDM as Particle Dark Matter**



## WIMP as CDM-Candidate

- Neutral
- Stable  $SUSY \rightarrow LSP = neutralino?$
- Small Cross section

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{0}^{1} = a \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} + b \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{3} + c \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1}^{0} + d \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2}^{0}$$

**Coherent interaction:** 

$$\sigma_{Coh.} \propto A^2 \rightarrow$$
 Heavy nuclei

Spin-dependent interaction:

$$\sigma_{spin-dependent} \propto \left[ a_{p} \left\langle \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \right\rangle + a_{n} \left\langle \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}} \right\rangle \right] \cdot \frac{J+1}{J}$$

Only significant for light-weight nuclei

Focus on WIMPs Production at the LHC Indirect Detection Direct Detection

## **Production at Accelerators**

Heavy strongly-interacting SUSY states (squarks, gluinos) produced copiously in p-p collisions



Theoretical models needed for interpretation.

Cascade decays through lighter states to invisible LSP (Dark Matter)

Collider measurements are complementary to direct and indirect measurements.



## **Indirect Detection**

WIMP annihilation in regions for high DM density Rate  $\propto \rho^2$ 

### **Probes for:**

Sun Earth Milky Way Halo / Galactic Centre External galaxies Stars

#### **Messengers:**

High-energy neutrinos Gamma ray Antiprotons Positrons



## **Indirect Detection**

The signature: how to detect indirectly? Standard particles, charged messengers and gamma rays and neutrinos.

Assume: neutralinos captured and accumulated in celestial bodies (Sun, Earth).

What to look at: galactic centre, sub-structures

### **Experiments:**

Neutrino final states: AMANDA II (South pole since 2001); ANTARES (Mediteranian, completed), IceCube (in 2011).

Gamma final states: EGRET, GLAST/FERMI, HESS, MAGIC

Charged final states: PAMELA, AMS.



Displacement / tracking: DRIFT, Newage, MIMAC, DM-TPC

## **Direct Detection Signals**



- Annual modulation of flux and spectrum (few % effect at threshold)
- Recoil direction modulation (large diurnal effect, requires gas target)
- Target dependence (depends on A<sup>2</sup>, form factor; neutron rejection)
- Spectral shape (exponential form, but can look like typical background)
- Interaction characteristics (nuclear recoils; single hits, uniform distribution)

#### Roma2,Roma1,LNGS,IHEP/Beijing

+ by-products and small scale expts.; INR-Kiev + neutron meas.; ENEA-Frascati + in some studies on ββ decays (DST-MAE project): IIT Kharagpur, Indi

## DAMA: an observatory for rare processes @LNGS

DAMA/LXe DAMA/R&D

DAMA/NaI

DAMA/LIBRA

low bckg DAMA/Ge for sampling meas.

\_meas. with <sup>100</sup>Mo

http://people.roma2.infn.it/dama



As a result of a second generation R&D for more radiopure NaI(TI) by exploiting new chemical/physical radiopurification techniques (all operations involving crystals and PMTs - including photos - in HP Nitrogen atmosphere)

> detectors during installation; in the central and right up detectors the new shaped Cu shield surrounding light guides (acting also as optical windows) and PMTs was not yet applied



view at end of detectors' installation in the Cu box

assembling a DAMA/ LIBRA detecto

filling the inner Cu box with further shield

installing DAMA



closing the Cu box housing the detectors

# Elastic Scattering of WIMPs by Nuclei in an Absorber

Measure Recoil Energies

$$E_{R} = E_{0} r \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cos \theta_{\rm CM} \right)$$



Local density:  $\rho_{\rm W} \approx 0.3 \ {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ 

Maxwellian v-distibution:  $v_{rms} \approx 270 \text{ km/s}$ 

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = 2\frac{\rho_W}{m_W} \cdot \frac{1}{m_W m_N r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \cdot F^2 (q^2) \cdot \int d\mathbf{v} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v}$$

... in an Earth bound frame of reference

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \frac{1}{2}mc^2 \cdot \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \implies \sim 10 \text{GeV} \cdot 10^{-6} \rightarrow \sim 10 \text{keV}$$

## DAMA / LIBRA



- Data taking completed in July 2002
- Total exposure of 107,731 kg.d
- See annual modulation at 6.3σ
- Claims model-independent evidence for WIMPs in the galactic halo
- 2<sup>nd</sup> phase: LIBRA 250 kg





WIMP candidate, using standard halo parameters:

$$M_X = (52 + \frac{10}{-8}) \text{ GeV and}$$
  
 $\sigma_{X-N} = (7.2 + \frac{0.4}{-0.9}) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ pb}$ 

DAMA / LIBRA running 250 kg; wait at least until 2008 ...

### Model Independent Annual Modulation Result

DAMA/Nal (7 years) + DAMA/LIBRA (4 years) Total exposure: 300555 kg×day = 0.82 ton×yr

#### experimental single-hit residuals rate vs time and energy











arXiv:0804.2741 to appear on EPJC

#### 2-4 keV

A=(0.0215±0.0026) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 51.9/66 **8.3 o C.L.** 

Absence of modulation? No  $\chi^2$ /dof=117.7/67  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 1.3×10<sup>-4</sup>

#### 2-5 keV

A=(0.0176±0.0020) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 39.6/66 **8.8 \sigma C.L.** 

Absence of modulation? No  $\chi^2$ /dof=116.1/67  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 1.9×10<sup>-4</sup>

#### 2-6 keV

A=(0.0129±0.0016) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 54.3/66 **8.2 o C.L.** Absence of modulation? No  $\chi^2$ /dof=116.4/67  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 1.8×10<sup>-4</sup>

Taken from P Belli's talk at IDM2008

#### Is there a sinusoidal contribution in the signal? Phase ≠ 152.5 day?

$$R(t) = S_0 + S_m \cos[\omega(t - t_0)] + Z_m \sin[\omega(t - t_0)] = S_0 + Y_m \cos[\omega(t - t^*)]$$

For Dark Matter signals:



#### **Examples** for few of the many possible scenarios superimposed to the measured modulation amplitues $S_{m,k}$



[4] RNC 26 (2003) 1; [34] PRD66 (2002) 043503

 $\xi \sigma_{SD}$ 

(pb)

2.6

1.4

1.4

 $8.7\times 10^{-2}$ 

1.7

0.11





Conclusive Evidence for Cold Dark Matter Viable Theories for Particle Candidates Some "Indication" in Experiments An Exciting and Lively Field