

keV scale sterile neutrino as Dark Matter or the simplest way to explain everything

F. Bezrukov

MPI für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany

ISAPP school Heidelberg, 30.07.2009



Outline



- The model vMSM
- 3 Astrophysical/Cosmological bounds
 - Lifetime
 - X-ray bounds
 - DM generation mechanisms
 - Structure formation
 - Constraints on heavier sterile neutrinos N_{2,3}

Predictions and laboratory searches

- Lightest active neutrino mass
- keV sterile neutrino: Ονββ decay
- Other laboratory searches



Outline



- The model vMSM
- 3 Astrophysical/Cosmological bounds
 - Lifetime
 - X-ray bounds
 - DM generation mechanisms
 - Structure formation
 - Constraints on heavier sterile neutrinos N_{2,3}
- Predictions and laboratory searches
 - Lightest active neutrino mass
 - keV sterile neutrino: Ονββ decay
 - Other laboratory searches



Standard Model - goods

Particle content

Gauge fields (SU(3)_c × SU(2)_W × U(1)_Y): γ, W^{\pm}, Z, g Higgs doublet (1,2,1)

		SU(3) _c	$SU(2)_W$	U(1) _Y	U(1) _{em}]
Matter:	$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L$	3	2	+1/3	$\binom{+2/3}{-1/3}$	× 3 generations
	u _R	3	1	+4/3	+2/3	
	d _R	3	1	-2/3	-1/3	
	$\binom{v_{e}}{e}_{L}$	1	2	-1	(⁰)	
	e _R	1	1	-2	-1	

Describes (except for very few things)

- all laboratory experiments electromagnetism, nuclear processes, etc.
- all processes in the evolution of the Universe after the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (T < 1 MeV, t > 1sec)



Standard Model - problems (experimental!)

- Neutrino oscillations
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark Matter
- Dark Energy
- Inflation



Standard Model - problems (experimental!)

Neutrino oscillations

Δm_{21}^2	8.2 ^{+0.6} _{-0.5} × 10 ⁻⁵ eV ²
θ ₁₂	32.3° ^{+2.7} -2.4
$ \Delta m_{32}^2 $	2.0 ^{+0.6} _{-0.4} × 10 ⁻³ eV ²
$sin^2 2\theta_{23}$	> 0.94
$sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	< 0.11

- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark Matter
- Dark Energy
- Inflation



http://hitoshi.berkeley.edu/neutrino



Standard Model - problems (experimental!)

- Neutrino oscillations
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark Matter

Gravitational lensing



CMB fluctuations

- "Bullet" cluster

- Dark Energy
- Inflation

Standard Model - problems solution

Can be explained with sterile (right-handed) neutrinos

- Neutrino oscillations
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark Matter

Asaka, Shaposhnikov, 05

- Dark Energy hard to explain naturally, but can be present provided for extreme finetuning
- Inflation can be explained by non-minimally coupling of the Higgs with gravity FB, Shaposhnikov'08



Outline

Introduction

The model - vMSM

- 3 Astrophysical/Cosmological bounds
 - Lifetime
 - X-ray bounds
 - DM generation mechanisms
 - Structure formation
 - Constraints on heavier sterile neutrinos N_{2,3}

Predictions and laboratory searches

- Lightest active neutrino mass
- keV sterile neutrino: Ονββ decay
- Other laboratory searches



vMSM

Particle content

Gauge fields (SU(3)_c × SU(2)_W × U(1)_Y): γ , W[±], Z, g Higgs doublet (1,2,1)

		SU(3)c	$SU(2)_W$	U(1) _Y	U(1) _{em}]
	$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{I}}$	3	2	+1/3	$\binom{+2/3}{-1/3}$	
Matter:	u _R	3	1	+4/3	+2/3	× 3 generations
	d _R	3	1	-2/3	-1/3	
	$\begin{pmatrix} v_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_I$	1	2	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	
	e _R	1	1	-2	-1	
	Ν	1	1	0	0	

Lepton sector is similar to the quark sector (with addition of the Majorana masses for $N\,)$



vMSM Lagrangian

- Lorentz invariant
- Renormalizable

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vMSM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{MSM}} + \overline{N}_{\text{I}} \, i \, \mathbf{\lambda} N_{\text{I}} - f_{\text{I} \, \alpha} H \overline{N}_{\text{I}} \, L_{\alpha} - \frac{M_{\text{I}}}{2} \overline{N}_{\text{I}}^{c} N_{\text{I}} + \text{h.c.}$$

- $\bullet\,$ Dirac masses: $M^{\,D}_{I\, {\mbox{\scriptsize a}}} = f_{I\, {\mbox{\scriptsize a}}} \langle H\, \rangle$
- Majorana masses: M_I

Asaka, Blanchet, Shaposhnikov, 2005 Asaka, Shaposhnikov, 2005



v masses and mixings

 $M_{\rm I} \gg M^{\rm D}$ – "seesaw" mechanism is working Diagonalising mass matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_I \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} -(M^D)^T \frac{1}{M_I} M^D & 0 \\ 0 & M_I \end{pmatrix}$$

3 heavy neutrinos with masses $\ensuremath{M_{\mathrm{I}}}$

ight neutrino masses
$$M^{\nu} = -(M^D)^T \frac{1}{M_I} M^D$$

$$\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{M}^{\mathsf{v}}\mathbf{U} = \mathsf{diag}(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_3)$$

Mixings: flavor state $\textbf{v}_{\textbf{a}} = U_{\textbf{a}i}\textbf{v}_i + \theta_{\textbf{a}I}\,N_I^{\,c}$

Active-sterile mixings
$$\theta_{\alpha I} = \frac{(M^D)_{\alpha I}^{\dagger}}{M_I} \ll 1$$

The spectrum of vMSM





Outline

Introduction

The model - vMSM

- 3 Astrophysical/Cosmological bounds
 - Lifetime
 - X-ray bounds
 - DM generation mechanisms
 - Structure formation
 - Constraints on heavier sterile neutrinos N_{2,3}

Predictions and laboratory searches

- Lightest active neutrino mass
- keV sterile neutrino: Ονββ decay
- Other laboratory searches



Lifetime

To be a viable Dark Matter N_1 should live longer, than the age of the Universe

Main decay channel: $N_1 \rightarrow \bar{v}vv$, $N_1 \rightarrow \bar{v}\bar{v}v$



Age of the Universe $\sim 10^{17}\,\text{sec}$ Not very constraining, in fact



Radiative decay



- Monochromatic: $E_{\gamma} = M_1/2$
- $\bullet~$ We should see an X-ray ($\sim~$ keV) line coming from everywhere in the sky



Astrophysical constraints





DM generation

Produced by mixing from the active neutrinos

$$\Gamma_N \sim \Gamma_{\text{v}} \theta_M^2(T)$$

where $\Gamma_v\sim G_F^2T^5$ is the rate of active neutrino production, and $\theta_M(T)$ is a temperature (and momentum)-dependent mixing angle:

$$\theta_1^2 \rightarrow \theta_M^2(T) \simeq \frac{\theta_1^2}{\left(1 + \frac{2p}{M_1^2} (b(p,T) \pm c(T))\right)^2 + \theta_1^2}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{b}\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{T}\right) &= \frac{\mathbf{16}G_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}{\pi a_{\mathrm{W}}} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{2} + \cos^{2}\theta_{\mathrm{W}}) \frac{7\pi^{2}T^{4}}{\mathbf{360}}\\ \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{T}) &= \mathbf{3}\sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathbf{1} + \sin^{2}\theta_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{v}_{e}} - \mathbf{n}_{\bar{\mathsf{v}}_{e}}\right) \end{split}$$

Production: Non-resonant (b dominates) or Resonant (c \sim b)



Other generation mechanisms

- The sterile neutrino does not enter thermal equilibrium during evolution
- Initial abundance is important
 - In the plain vMSM it is neglidgible F.B., Gorbunov, Shaposhnikov 08
 - May be produced with some other physics before thermal evolution - e.g. inflaton decay Shaposhnikov, Tkachev 06; Anisimov, Bartocci, F.B., 08
- DM abundance may also be diluted by entropy production from the out-of-equilibrium decay of some particle (heavier sterile neutrino) happening after DM production



Bounds from observed structure in the Universe

- Look at the compact object with DM (dwarf spheroidals)
 - Check that sterile neutrinos can "fit" there Pauli blocking

 $M_{DEG} > 0.5 keV$

• Stricter bound - phase space density arguments

M > 1-2keV

Tremaine, Gunn 79; Gorbunov, Khmelnitsky, Rubakov 08; Boyarsky, Ruchayskiy, Iakubovskyi 08

- Light sterile neutrino being relativistic after decoupling provides cut off in the structure formation at smaller (sub-Mpc) scales.
- Presence of this cut off can be searched by the analysis of the Lyman-a absorption line of the intergallactic hydrogen.
 - For Non Resonant production m > 8keV.
 - For Resonant production m > 2keV (dependent on model lepton asymmetry)

Boyarsky, Lesgourgues, Ruchayskiy, Viel 08

Astrophysical constraints





Baryon Asymmetry

Baryogenesis via Leptogenesis (using heavier sterile N_2 and N_3)

- Generation of lepton asymmetry in active neutrino sector via CP-violating neutrino oscillations
- $\bullet\,$ Conversion of lepton asymmetry to baryon asymmetry by sphaleron transformations, conserving B+L

$$\frac{n_B}{s} = 2 \times 10^{-10} \delta_{CP} \left(\frac{10^{-6}}{\Delta M_{32}^2 / M_3^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{M_3}{10 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}}$$

and $M_{2,3}\sim$ 10 GeV. δ_{CP} describes CP in sterile sector. In Universe: $\frac{n_B}{s}\simeq(8.8\div9.8)\times10^{-11}$

Should not thermalize before sphaleron processes stop $\Theta_{2,3} < 2\kappa \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{GeV}{M_{2,3}}\right)^2$ ($\kappa \simeq 1(2)$ for normal(inverted) hierarchy)

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

- No additional degrees of freedom decaying during nucleosynthesis are allowed
- This roughly limits the heavier sterile neutrino N_{2,3} lifetime

BBN bound

$$\tau_{1,2} \lesssim 0.1 \, \text{sec}$$

A. Dolgov, S. Hansen, G. Raffelt, D. Semikoz, 2000



Outline

Introduction

- The model vMSM
- 3 Astrophysical/Cosmological bounds
 - Lifetime
 - X-ray bounds
 - DM generation mechanisms
 - Structure formation
 - Constraints on heavier sterile neutrinos N_{2,3}

Predictions and laboratory searches

- Lightest active neutrino mass
- keV sterile neutrino: Ονββ decay
- Other laboratory searches



Active neutrino masses - prediction!

The mass of the lightest active neutrino:

 $m_{\text{lightest}} \lesssim 10^{\text{-5}} \text{ eV}$



No neutrino mass seen by KATRIN!

Ovßß effective Majorana mass (GERDA!)



- contribution from N₁ is negligible $|M_1\theta_{e1}^2| \le 10^{-5}$ eV
- For N_1 coupled with heavier active neutrinos its contribution is always negative $m_{ee} < |\sum_i m_i V_{ei}^2|$ smaller prediction

$$m m_{ee}$$
 < 50 × 10⁻³ eV



Ovββ effective Majorana mass (GERDA!)





- contribution from N₁ is negligible $|M_1 \theta_{e1}^2| \le 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
- For N_1 coupled with heavier active neutrinos its contribution is always negative $m_{ee} < |\sum_i m_i V_{ei}^2|$ smaller prediction

$$\mathrm{m_{ee}}$$
 < 50 × 10⁻³ eV



Other laboratory searches

- Search for keV sterile neutrino by full kinematic reconstruction of β decay of ${}^{3}H$ F.B., Shaposhnikov 07
- Search for heavier sterile neutrinos of vMSM in dedeicated experiments with high intensity proton beams or in K, D decays Gorbunov, Shaposhnikov, 07
- Nothing but the Higgs boson with the mass 128 GeV < M_{H} < 180 GeV found on LHC $_{\text{F.B., Magnin, Shaposhnikov, 08;}}$

De Simone, Hertzberg, Wilczek 08



N1 search: beta decay kinematics



Neutrino mass is reconstructed from observed momenta

$$m_{\text{v}}^{\text{2}} = (Q - E_{p}^{\text{kin}} - E_{e}^{\text{kin}})^{2} - (\textbf{p} + \textbf{k})^{2}$$

For ${}^{3}H$: Q = 18.591keV

- Typical ion energy $E_p^{\,kin}\sim 1$ eV or $|{\bm p}|\sim 100 \text{keV} \Rightarrow \text{speed}$ $v\sim 10^4 \text{m/s}$
- $\bullet~$ Typical electron energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \sim 10 \mathrm{keV}$

Time of flight measurement of ion momenta! (COLTRIMS) F.B., M. Shaposhnikov, 2007



Heavier sterile neutrino N2,3 properties

- M_{2,3} > m_π (likely)
- Mixings
 - $\bullet\,$ Lower bound—should decay before BBN t $\lesssim 0.1 sec$
 - Upper bound—should not thermalise before generation of BAU $\Theta_{2,3} < 2\kappa \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{GeV}{M_{2,3}}\right)^2$
- Decay modes

 $N \rightarrow \mu e \nu, \, \pi^0 \nu, \, \pi e \, , \, \mu^+ \mu^- \nu, \, \pi \mu, \, Ke \, , \, K \mu \, , \eta \nu, \rho \nu, \ldots$

Production

$$\begin{split} & K^\pm \to l_{\alpha}^\pm N_{\rm I} \ , \, K_L \to \pi^\mp l_{\alpha}^\pm N_{\rm I} \ , \\ & D_s \to \eta^{(\prime)} l_{\alpha} N_{\rm I} \ , \, D \to K l_{\alpha} N_{\rm I} \ , \, D_s \to \phi l_{\alpha} N_{\rm I} \ , \, D \to K^* l_{\alpha} N_{\rm I} \end{split}$$



D. Gorbunov, M.Shaposhnikov, 2007

$N_{\text{2,3}}$: Processess to look for

Neutrino production hadron decays: kinematics

- Missing energy in K decays
- Peaks in momentum of charged leptons for two body decays
- Neutrino decays into SM particles: "nothing" to leptons and hadrons
 - Beam target experiments with high intensity proton beam, detector (preferably not dense) after the shielding.

D. Gorbunov, M.Shaposhnikov, 2007



Conclusions

- vMSM Standard Model with three right handed neutrinos
 - sterile neutrino with the mass 1–50 keV Dark Matter
 - $\bullet\,$ two sterile neutrinos with masses $\sim\,$ GeV Baryon asymmetry
 - see-saw mechanism neutrino oscillations
- It is not always needed to invent complicated new physics to explain Nature!

